

**REMARKS**

Claims 7-16 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-6 have been cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer to the subject matter contained therein.

**A. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Dekel et al.**

Claims 1-4 and 6 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Dekel et al. (US-A-6,314,452). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) over Dekel et al. is respectfully traversed.

In formulating the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103, the Examiner alleges that Dekel et al. teaches a client-side device that requests a section of a document from a server-side device and the server-side device receives the request. The Examiner further alleges that Dekel et al. teaches that the server-side device retrieves the requested document; identifies, in the retrieved document, the requested section; and converts the identified section into a wavelet compressed image. Lastly, the Examiner alleges that Dekel et al. teaches the server-side device communicates the wavelet compressed image to the client-side device, which decompresses the received wavelet compressed image and displays the decompressed document section. From these allegations, the Examiner concludes that the presently claimed invention is anticipated by Dekel et al. These positions and conclusion by the Examiner, in view of the newly submitted claims 7-12, are respectfully traversed.

The present invention, as set forth in newly added independent claim 7, is directed to a method for viewing, on a client-side device, documents requested from a server-side device, the client-side device and server-side device having a communication link therebetween. The claimed method generates a request from a client-side device to be sent to a server-side device, the request identifying a non-rasterized document, a section of the non-rasterized document to be sent to the client-side device, and a compression format corresponding to the client-side device. As set forth in newly added independent claim 7, the server-side device retrieves, in response to receiving the request from the client-side device, the requested non-rasterized document and identifies the requested section of the requested non-rasterized document; rasterizes the identified section of the requested non-rasterized document; compresses the rasterized section of the requested non-rasterized document into a compressed image having the identified compression format corresponding to the client-side device; and communicates the compressed

image to the client-side device. The client-side device decompresses the received compressed image and displays the decompressed image.

As clearly set forth above, the presently claimed invention, as set forth in newly added independent claim 7, recites that the server-side device rasterizes the identified section of the requested non-rasterized document before compressing the (rasterized) section of the requested non-rasterized document into a compressed image having the identified compression format corresponding to the client-side device.

In contrast, Dekel et al. clearly teaches that the identified section of the requested document is compressed, without any rasterization, into a wavelet compressed image. Thus, Dekel et al. fails to teach that the server-side device rasterizes the identified section of the requested non-rasterized document before compressing the (rasterized) section of the requested non-rasterized document into a compressed image having the identified compression format corresponding to the client-side device, as set forth in newly added independent claim 7.

With respect to newly added independent claim 12, the present invention is directed to a method for viewing, on a client-side device, documents requested from a server-side device, the client-side device and server-side device having a communication link therebetween. The claimed method generates a request from a client-side device to be sent to a server-side device, the request identifying a non-rasterized document and a compression format corresponding to the client-side device. As set forth in newly added independent claim 12, the server-side device retrieves, in response to receiving the request from the client-side device, the requested non-rasterized document; rasterizes the requested non-rasterized document; compresses the rasterized document into a compressed image having the identified compression format corresponding to the client-side device; and communicates the compressed image to the client-side device. The client-side device decompresses the received compressed image and displays the decompressed image.

As clearly set forth above, the presently claimed invention, as set forth in newly added independent claim 12, recites that the server-side device rasterizes the requested non-rasterized document before compressing the (rasterized) requested document into a compressed image having the identified compression format corresponding to the client-side device.

In contrast, Dekel et al. clearly teaches that the requested document is compressed, without any rasterization, into a wavelet compressed image. Thus, Dekel et al. fails to teach that

the server-side device rasterizes the requested non-rasterized document before compressing ~~the~~ (rasterized) requested document into a compressed image having the identified compression format corresponding to the client-side device, as set forth in newly added independent claim 12.


With respect to dependent claims 8-11 and 13-16, the Applicant, for the sake of brevity, will not address the reasons supporting patentability for these individual dependent claims, as these claims depends directly or indirectly from allowable independent claims 6 and 12. The Applicant reserves the right to address the patentability of these dependent claims at a later time, should it be necessary.

Accordingly, in view of the amendments and remarks set forth above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

#### CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of all the reasons set forth above, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the present rejections. Also, an early indication of allowability is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Nickerson  
Registration No. 33,265  
Basch & Nickerson LLP  
1777 Penfield Road  
Penfield, New York 14526  
Telephone: (585) 899-3970

MJN/mjn